



EUROPEAN CENTRAL BANK

EUROSYSTEM

# Financial Sanctions and Russian Trade Discussion

---

Yang Jiao & Shang-Jin Wei



22 September 2025

**Ine Van Robays**  
European Central Bank

# Disclaimer

*The views expressed are mine and do not necessarily reflect those of the  
European Central Bank*

# This paper

## Main findings:

- Financial sanctions (SWIFT bans, Western bank exit) sharply reduced Russian trade with the West and non-West
- Effects were strongest on the extensive margin
- Financial and trade sanctions reinforce each other
- Financial sanctions created persistent and broad-based disruption, while trade sanctions triggered trade diversion

# This paper

## Data and methodology:

- **Dataset:** Russian customs transaction-level data (2016–23), UN Comtrade
  - Coverage: imports, exports, banks, products, partners
  - Unique feature: transaction bank identifiers (2016–17)
- **Method:** panel regressions at bank-product-country-month level
- **Identification:** sanction dummies
  - SWIFT rounds, Western bank exits, EU/US trade bans, tariffs
  - Interaction terms to capture amplification

# This paper is a great paper!

- Exciting dataset, well-executed and robust econometrics
- Policy relevant!
- **Two comments** on the margin (academic hat vs. policy hat)

# Comment 1: Trade versus financial sanctions



## Comment 1: Trade versus financial sanctions

- Financial sanctions: severe and broad-based effects (West and non-West)
- Trade sanctions: triggers redirection of trade
- Makes sense! But do trade sanctions get a fair chance in the stand-off?

# Comment 1: Trade versus financial sanctions

## Trade sanctions

- Dummy on product
- **Re-direction** captured in data (detailed coverage of trade and product dimension)
- Trade flow re-direction clearly captured in the results

## Financial sanctions

- Dummy on banks
- Is possible “rewiring” captured to **same extent?** (coverage alternatives?)
- Data challenges!
- But also due to **model assumptions?**

# Comment 1: Trade versus financial sanctions

## Let me explain

- Assumptions:
  - Firm–bank link is stable (tested for 2016–17), even after sanctions
  - Dummy for sanctioned bank imposed for full sample after sanction
- No alternatives following SWIFT sanctions?

# Comment 1: Trade versus financial sanctions

## Some examples of alternatives

|            |                                                                                                                                                                              |
|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 27/12/2024 | Finance minister Siluanov confirms that Russia is using <b>bitcoin and other digital currencies</b> for trade payments as part of its <b>efforts to avoid G7 sanctions</b> . |
| 27/2/2024  | BRICS members meet in Brazil to discuss the <b>BRICS Bridge payment</b> platform.                                                                                            |
| 16/1/2024  | Four new countries join the <b>Russian Financial Messaging System (SPFS)</b> , increasing the number of members to 20.                                                       |
| 16/1/2024  | <b>Trading volumes in Chinese yuan surpass those in US dollars</b> on the Moscow Exchange in 2023.                                                                           |
| 03/07/2023 | Indian refiners start paying in <b>yuan for Russian oil imports</b> .                                                                                                        |
| 27/12/2023 | Russia and Iran sign an agreement to trade using their national currencies, also <b>promoting use of non-Swift interbank systems</b> .                                       |

*Sources: Reuters, Bloomberg L.P., Ledger Insights, Central Banking, Financial Times, as collected in ECB (2024;2025): The International Role of the Euro report.*

# Comment 1: Trade versus financial sanctions

## Let me explain

- Assumptions:
  - Firm–bank link is stable (tested for 2016–17)
  - Dummy for sanctioned bank imposed for full sample after sanction
- Reality: firms and banks might have partially adjusted
  - This “re-wiring” is not in dataset (due to data availability)
  - But assumption firm-bank stability and sanction dummy might matter, too

# Comment 1: Trade versus financial sanctions

## Questions

- Would “re-direction” after financial sanctions even be visible in the dataset, as it is for trade?
- SWIFT dummy may overstate duration as firms/banks find alternatives; affects persistency of effects?
- Financial re-wiring is harder than trade diversion; just a matter of time?
- Data issue. But perhaps discussion on assumptions would be helpful.

## Comment 2: Take-away for policymakers



## Comment 2: Take-away for policymakers

- Financial sanctions more effective: broad-based cut-off of trade
  - Also in the long run?
- Financial sanctions induce structural solutions (e.g. alternative messaging and payment systems). Structural break away from Western systems.

### Questions

- How many rounds of financial sanctions can you impose?
- Does this affect the effectiveness? Discuss trade-offs.

# This paper is a great paper

- Exciting dataset and relevant findings.
- Invites further analysis in unexplored areas (e.g. sectoral trade dimensions).
- **Must read!**



Thank you